

Note

Reactivity variations within Group 4 complexes of 1,4-di-*tert*-butyl-1,4-diazabuta-1,3-diene: Structures of $[(C_5H_5)TiCl\{(t-BuNCH)_2\}]$ and $[(C_5H_5)_2Zr\{(t-BuNCH)_2\}]$

Anthony C. Benjamin^a, Alistair S.P. Frey^a, Michael G. Gardiner^{a,*}, Colin L. Raston^b,
Brian W. Skelton^b, Allan H. White^b

^a School of Chemistry, University of Tasmania, Private Bag 75, Hobart TAS 7001, Australia

^b School of Biomedical, Biomolecular and Chemical Sciences, Chemistry M313, The University of Western Australia, Crawley WA 6009, Australia

Received 28 August 2007; received in revised form 21 November 2007; accepted 29 November 2007

Available online 15 January 2008

Abstract

The reactions of the metallocene dichlorides $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2MCl_2]$, M = Ti and Zr, with the 1,4-di-*tert*-butyl-1,4-diazabuta-1,3-diene radical anion (lithium complex) in diethyl ether reveal a reactivity difference within the series, yielding $[(C_5H_5)TiCl\{(t-BuNCH)_2\}]$ and $[(C_5H_5)_2Zr\{(t-BuNCH)_2\}]$ through the elimination of $Li(C_5H_5)$ and/or $LiCl$, respectively. We report the X-ray crystal structures of these complexes, and discuss their reactivity patterns and solution fluxional properties.

© 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Metallocene; Zirconocene; Cyclopentadienyl; 1,4-Diazabuta-1,3-diene; α -Diimine; Crystal structure

1. Introduction

The organometallic chemistry of 1,4-disubstituted 1,4-diazabuta-1,3-dienes (R-DAB) has been studied intensively [1]. The impetus driving the research has been based largely on the discovery that these molecules are not innocent ligands, and that this should lead to highly novel chemistry and useful reactivity properties of the complexes in synthesis and catalysis. More recently they have received most attention as ligands in the polymerisation of alkenes in late-transition metal chemistry [2]. The 1,4-diazabuta-1,3-dienes can bind as neutral Lewis bases, as the singly reduced radical anions or as the dianionic species. Further flexibility is shown by the fact that they can form chelate complexes in all these oxidation states, bind a single metal through only one nitrogen centre or bridge two metal cen-

tres through both nitrogen centres in different oxidation states. Homoleptic mono-, bis- and tris(1,4-diazabuta-1,3-diene)metal complexes are known for a large range of metals (the mono-derivatives being better known as Arduengo-type carbene analogues), as are heteroleptic complexes [1,3]. Herein, we report on new syntheses and further characterisation of a series of Group 4 heteroleptic complexes of *t*-Bu-DAB and discuss the chemistry of these complexes (previous syntheses and some fluxional behaviour of these complexes have been reported [4–6]).

2. Experimental

2.1. General

Unless stated, all manipulations of complexes were carried out under an argon atmosphere by the use of standard Schlenk techniques. *t*-Bu-DAB and $[Li(t-Bu-DAB)_2]$ were prepared according to the literature procedures [7,8]. $[(C_5H_5)_2TiCl_2]$ and $[(C_5H_5)_2ZrCl_2]$ were purchased from

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: Michael.Gardiner@utas.edu.au (M.G. Gardiner).

Aldrich and sublimed prior to use. All solvents were dried over Na or Na/K and distilled. All other chemicals were obtained from Aldrich and used as received. ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra were recorded using a Bruker AC-200 spectrometer at 200.132 (^1H) and 50.323 MHz (^{13}C), internally referenced to the residual ^1H resonances of C_6D_6 (δ 7.15) or the ^{13}C resonance (δ 128.0: broad band proton decoupling). Elemental analyses were performed by Chemical and MicroAnalytical Services Pty. Ltd., Melbourne. Melting points were determined in sealed glass capillaries under argon and are uncorrected. I.R. spectra were recorded as Nujol mulls on NaCl plates using a Perkin–Elmer 1725X Fourier-transform infra-red spectrometer.

2.2. Synthesis of $[(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Ti}(\text{Cl})(t\text{-Bu-DAB})]$ (**1**)

To a diethyl ether solution (40 mL) of $[(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{TiCl}_2]$ (0.21 g, 0.84 mmol), a diethyl ether solution (20 mL) of $[\text{Li}(t\text{-Bu-DAB})_2]$ (0.57 g, 1.66 mmol) was added dropwise with stirring at -40°C . The solution was allowed to warm to room temperature and let stir overnight. The solvent and any uncoordinated $t\text{-Bu-DAB}$ were removed in vacuo and the product was sublimed (110°C , 10^{-1} mbar) from the remaining dark solid as dark purple crystals (0.20 g, 76%). M.p. $116\text{--}119^\circ\text{C}$. ^1H NMR (298 K, C_6D_6 , major isomer only): δ 1.17 (br s, 18H, CH_3), 5.20 (br s, 2H, CH , $t\text{-Bu-DAB}$), 5.62 (br s, 5H, CH , Cp). Fluxionality prevented assignment of the ^{13}C NMR spectrum at 298 K. Anal. Calc. for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{25}\text{N}_2\text{ClTi}$: C, 56.88; H, 7.96; N, 8.85. Found: C, 56.45; H, 7.86; N, 9.23%.

2.3. Synthesis of $[(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{Zr}(t\text{-Bu-DAB})]$ (**2**)

To a diethyl ether solution (40 mL) of $[(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{ZrCl}_2]$ (0.21 g, 0.72 mmol), a diethyl ether solution (20 mL) of $[\text{Li}(t\text{-Bu-DAB})_2]$ (0.50 g, 1.46 mmol) was added dropwise with stirring at -40°C . The solution was allowed to warm to room temperature and let stir overnight. The solvent and any uncoordinated $t\text{-Bu-DAB}$ were removed in vacuo and the product was sublimed (200°C , 10^{-1} mbar) from the remaining orange solid as orange crystals (0.23 g, 83%). M.p. 162°C . ^1H NMR (298 K, C_6D_6): δ 1.18 (s, 18H, CH_3), 5.41 (s, 2H, CH , $t\text{-Bu-DAB}$), 5.41 (s, 5H, CH , Cp), 5.90 (s, 5H, CH , Cp). ^{13}C NMR (298 K, C_6D_6): δ 31.7 (CH_3), 57.2 (CMe_3), 100.9 (CH , Cp), 107.1 (CH , $t\text{-Bu-DAB}$), 108.0 (CH , Cp). Anal. Calc. for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{30}\text{N}_2\text{Zr}$: C, 61.64; H, 7.76; N, 7.19. Found: C, 61.66; H, 7.68; N, 7.29%.

2.4. X-ray structure determinations for compounds **1** and **2**

Initial studies were conducted using a single-counter instrument with capillary mounted specimens at ca. 295 K; in the case of **2**, aspirations were thwarted by apparent disorder of a pair of superimposed components. The problems were revisited some years later with the advent of a CCD instrument with ‘low’-temperature facility. For

2, substantial well-formed crystals of a freshly sublimed sample were available; the specimen selected for the experiment was a chip, carefully chosen so as not to include any immediately obvious potential twin plane.

Full spheres of CCD area-detector diffractometer data were measured (Bruker AXS instrument, ω -scans; monochromatic Mo $\text{K}\alpha$ radiation; $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$; T ca. 153 K), yielding $N_{\text{(total)}}$ reflections, these merging to N unique (R_{int} cited) after ‘empirical/multiscan’ absorption correction (proprietary software), N_o with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ being considered ‘observed’. All reflections were used in the full matrix least squares refinements on F^2 , refining anisotropic displacement parameters for the non-hydrogen atoms, hydrogen atoms being included in a riding model; for **2**, ‘Friedel’ data were retained distinct and the absolute structure refined ($x_{\text{abs}} = -0.03(6)$), the t -butyl carbon displacement parameter forms being refined isotropically (reflections weights were $(\sigma^2(F^2) + (aP)^2 + bP)^{-1}$ ($P = F_o^2 + 2F_c^2$)/3). Neutral atom complex scattering factors were employed within the SHELX97 program system [9]. Pertinent results are given below and in Tables 1–3 and Figs. 1 and 2, the latter showing non-hydrogen atoms with 50% probability amplitude displacement envelopes.

3. Results and discussion

$[(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{TiCl}(t\text{-Bu-DAB})]$ (**1**) and $[(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{Zr}(t\text{-Bu-DAB})]$ (**2**) were prepared by metathetical exchange reactions between the metallocene dichlorides $[(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{MCl}_2]$, $\text{M} = \text{Ti}$ and Zr , and two equivalents of $[\text{Li}(t\text{-Bu-DAB})_2]$ in diethyl ether, Scheme 1. The reactions occurred quickly at -40°C and the products were isolated as dark purple and orange crystalline solids, respectively, by sublimation

Table 1
Crystal data and refinement details for **1** and **2**

Compound	1	2
Empirical formula	$\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{25}\text{N}_2\text{ClTi}$	$\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{30}\text{N}_2\text{Zr}$
Formula weight (g mol^{-1})	316.7	389.7
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Orthorhombic
Space group	$C2/c$ (No. 15)	$P2_12_12_1$ (No. 19)
a (\AA)	28.391(3)	8.611(2)
b (\AA)	10.962(1)	14.685(3)
c (\AA)	22.577(2)	15.233(3)
β ($^\circ$)	103.071(2)	90
V (\AA^3)	6844(1)	1926.3(7)
Z	16	4
Crystal size (mm)	$0.40 \times 0.35 \times 0.25$	$0.40 \times 0.17 \times 0.13$
Crystal colour, habit	Purple, prismatic	Orange, prismatic
Reflections collected, N_t	34267	37991
Independent reflections, N	8744	10072
R_{int}	0.032	0.022
Reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$, N_o	6313	8263
2θ Range ($^\circ$)	3–58	4–75
Completeness of data (%)	96.1	99.6
Parameters	355	323
Final R indices [$I > 2\sigma(I)$]	$R_1 = 0.039$	$R_1 = 0.050$
R indices (all data)	$wR_2 = 0.107$	$wR_2 = 0.145$
Goodness-of-fit	0.979	$R_1 = 1.036$

Table 2
Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for **1**

Atoms	Parameter	Atoms	Parameter
<i>Distances (Å)</i>			
Ti(n)–Cl(n)	2.3219(6), 2.3194(6)	Ti(n)–C(n4)	2.342(2), 2.402(2)
Ti(n)–C(n01–n05)	2.342(4)–2.390(2), 2.363(3)–2.402(3)	Ti(n)–C(n3)	2.338(2), 2.401(2)
Ti(n)–Cp cent.	2.07 ₁ , 2.05 ₅	N(n2)–C(n3)	1.369(2), 1.363(2)
Ti(n)–N(n2)	1.9316(14), 1.9292(14)	C(n3)–C(n4)	1.386(3), 1.392(3)
Ti(n)–N(n5)	1.9338(15), 1.9319(15)	C(n4)–N(n5)	1.373(2), 1.369(2)
<i>Angles (°)</i>			
Cl(n)–Ti(n)–Cp cent.	113. ₁ , 111. ₆	C(n1)–N(n2)–C(n3)	120.9(2), 120.2(1)
Cp cent.–Ti(n)–N(n2)	122. ₆ , 122. ₉	Σ (angles N(n2))	353. ₁ , 354. ₇
Cp cent.–Ti(n)–N(n5)	123. ₁ , 124. ₃	N(n2)–C(n3)–C(n4)	120.2(2), 19.5(1)
Cl(n)–Ti(n)–N(n2)	101.21(5), 102.56(5)	C(n3)–C(n4)–N(n5)	119.7(2), 18.9(1)
Cl(n)–Ti(n)–N(n5)	101.46(5), 101.31(5)	C(n6)–N(n5)–C(n4)	120.5(2), 120.1(1)
N(n2)–Ti(n)–N(n5)	90.85(6), 89.81(6)	Ti(n)–N(n5)–C(n4)	88.5(1), 88.8(1)
Ti(n)–N(n2)–C(n1)	143.7(1), 145.7(1)	Ti(n)–N(n5)–C(n6)	143.7(1), 145.6(1)
Ti(n)–N(n2)–C(n3)	88.47(9), 88.8(1)	Σ (angles N(n5))	352. ₇ , 354. ₅
<i>Atom deviations (δ) from the NCCN planes (Å)</i>			
Ti(n)	–1.141(2), –1.165(2)	C(n6)	0.289(3), 0.386(3)
C(n1)	0.302(3), 0.394(3)		

The two values in each entry are for molecules 1 ($n = 1$) and 2 ($n = 2$), respectively.

Table 3
Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for **2**

Atoms	Parameter	Atoms	Parameter
<i>Distances (Å)</i>			
Zr–C(101–105)	2.443(10)–2.662(5), 2.42(1)–2.53(1)	Zr–N(12)	2.107(3)
Zr–Cp(1) cent.	2.31 ₃ , 2.24 ₂	Zr–N(15)	2.098(3)
Zr–C(201–205)	2.520(18)–2.597(7), 2.45(1)–2.60(1)	Zr–C(13)	2.463(3), 2.702(7)
Zr–Cp(2) cent.	2.26 ₆ , 2.23 ₁	Zr–C(14)	2.476(5), 2.693(7)
<i>Angles (°)</i>			
Cp(1) cent.–Zr–Cp(2) cent.	120. ₈ , 131. ₅	N(12)–C(13)	1.429(5), 1.560(8)
Cp(1) cent.–Zr–N(12)	115. ₁ , 101. ₆	C(13)–C(14)	1.383(7), 1.376(10)
Cp(1) cent.–Zr–N(15)	115. ₈ , 100. ₂	C(14)–N(15)	1.434(6), 1.656(9)
Cp(2) cent.–Zr–N(12)	107. ₆ , 112. ₆	C(11)–N(12)–C(13)	115.1(3), 104.6(3)
Cp(2) cent.–Zr–N(15)	106. ₀ , 115. ₆	Σ (angles N(12))	350. ₃ , 347. ₄
N(12)–Zr–N(15)	85.94(11)	N(12)–C(13)–C(14)	122.6(4), 117.1(6)
Zr–N(12)–C(11)	149.1(2)	C(13)–C(14)–N(15)	119.8(4), 118.0(5)
Zr–N(12)–C(13)	86.1(2), 93.7(3)	C(14)–N(15)–C(16)	113.8(4), 108.9(3)
<i>Atom deviations (δ) from the NCCN planes (Å)</i>			
Zr	1.361(4), 1.286(5)	Zr–N(15)–C(14)	86.9(3), 90.9(3)
		Zr–N(15)–C(16)	148.6(3)
		Σ (angles N(15))	349. ₃ , 348. ₄

The two values in each entry are for the major and minor components, respectively.

in good yields after work up. Compounds **1** and **2** were characterised by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral, microanalytical data and X-ray crystal structure determinations.

The non-analogous outcomes of the reactions of the titanocene and zirconocene precursors arise from the elimination of Li(C₅H₅) and/or LiCl, respectively. Such reactivity has been previously noted and rationalised according to steric effects and/or the ligand lability differences of the metals [10]. Insolubility driven elimination of [(C₅H₅)Li]_∞ appears not to be a major factor, as the lithium centres may remain complexed by *t*-Bu-DAB, and

metathetical exchanges with magnesium complexes as transfer reagents have also been reported to display this reactivity [11], where soluble magnesocene is eliminated (versus expected MgCl₂). Although 1,4-di(phenyl) substituted titanocene R-DAB complexes [12] and the structurally authenticated [(C₅H₅)₂Ti(*c*-Hex-DAB)] are known [13], via reactions of titanocene precursors, no 1,4-di(*t*-alkyl) substituted examples have been reported. The different aggregation states of analogous [(C₅H₅)MCl(R-DAB)]_n complexes, M = Ti ($n = 1$) and Zr ($n = 2$), also relate to the properties of the metals [14].

protons of the *t*-Bu-DAB ligand and two sharp resonances for the cyclopentadienyl protons. The cyclopentadienyl resonances broaden at higher temperatures and coalesce at ca. 350 K. This process is also consistent with ring flipping of the non-planar ZrN₂C₂ chelate ring, which in the case of **2** does not give rise to isomers when the process is frozen out, but rather reduces the effective symmetry of the molecule from C_{2v} to C_s.

A molecular projection of one of the two crystallographically independent molecules of compound **1** is shown in Fig. 1. Both molecules have similar geometries (Table 2). The structure is similar to that reported for early transition metal R-DAB complexes, with a non-planar ‘envelope’ chelate ring being observed [12]. The Ti–C distances to the methine carbons of the *t*-Bu-DAB ligand are similar to the Ti–C distances of the cyclopentadienyl ring, but the activation energy for the ring flipping process is consistent with the additional η²-interaction being weak [4]. Unlike examples of planar MN₂C₂ chelate rings for R-DAB complexes, the N–C and C–C distances of the chelate ring do not clearly define the reduced state of the ligand, which is likely to be a dianion on the basis of previous investigations [12,4].

Compound **2** is disordered in the solid state across a non-crystallographic mirror plane which contains the Zr, both N and both quaternary *t*-butyl carbon centres. The disorder is modelled as major and minor components (refined occupancies, major:minor 0.585(4): complement, all atoms except Zr, N and quaternary C of *t*-Bu groups) involving both the cyclopentadienyl and *t*-Bu-DAB ligands, seemingly concerted, within a gross quasi-cylindrical envelope. Despite the disorder, the structure confirms the connectivities within the molecule, Fig. 2. The two components are of similar disposition, and again show the *t*-Bu-DAB ligand to bind as a non-planar chelate to the Zr centre. The Zr–C distances to the methine carbons of the *t*-Bu-DAB ligand reflect some π-interaction [10], and the geometries within the zirconocene moiety are unexceptional.

4. Conclusions

We have prepared two related R-DAB Group 4 complexes by new synthetic methods, discussed the differences in reactivity, determined their X-ray structures and studied their fluxional behaviour in solution. The results highlight the non-analogous reactivity of titanium and zirconium in this chemistry. This research forms part of an ongoing study of 1,4-disubstituted 1,4-diazabuta-1,3-diene complexes with early transition metal and lanthanide metals in relation to various reactivity and catalytic studies [18].

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to the Australian Research Council for funding.

Appendix A. Supplementary material

CCDC 620026 and 620025 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for **1** and **2**. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif. Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at [doi:10.1016/j.jorganchem.2007.11.061](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jorganchem.2007.11.061).

References

- [1] For reviews, see: G. van Koten, K. Vrieze, *Adv. Organomet. Chem.* 21 (1982) 151; K. Vrieze, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 300 (1986) 307; F.G.N. Cloke, *Chem. Soc. Revs.* 22 (1993) 17.
- [2] L.K. Johnson, C.M. Killian, M. Brookhart, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 117 (1995) 6414.
- [3] M. Denk, R. Lennon, R. Hayashi, R. West, A.V. Belyakov, H.P. Verne, A. Haaland, M. Wagner, N. Metzler, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 116 (1994) 2691; A.J. Arduengo III, R.L. Harlow, M. Kline, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 113 (1991) 361.
- [4] F. Amor, P. Gómez-Sal, P. Royo, J. Okuda, *Organometallics* 19 (2000) 5168.
- [5] H. tom Dieck, H.J. Rieger, G. Fendesak, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 177 (1990) 191.
- [6] H. Görls, B. Neumüller, A. Scholz, J. Scholz, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 34 (1995) 673.
- [7] J.M. Kliegman, R.K. Barnes, *Tetrahedron* 26 (1970) 2555.
- [8] M.G. Gardiner, G.R. Hanson, M.J. Henderson, F.-C. Lee, C.L. Raston, *Inorg. Chem.* 33 (1994) 2456.
- [9] G.M. Sheldrick, *SHELX97 Programs for Crystal Structure Analysis*, Universität Göttingen, Germany, 1998.
- [10] D.J. Cardin, M.F. Lappert, C.L. Raston, *Chemistry of Organo-Zirconium and -Hafnium Compounds*, Ellis Horwood, Chichester, UK, 1986.
- [11] W.J. Grigsby, M.M. Olmstead, P.P. Power, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 513 (1996) 173.
- [12] J. Scholz, M. Dlikan, D. Ströhl, A. Dietrich, H. Schumann, K.-H. Thiele, *Chem. Ber.* 123 (1990) 2279.
- [13] A. Spannenberg, T. Zippel, U. Rosenthal, *Z. Kristallogr.-New Cryst. Struct.* 215 (2000) 365.
- [14] J. Scholz, G.A. Hadi, K.-H. Thiele, H. Görls, R. Weimann, H. Schumann, J. Sieler, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 626 (2001) 243.
- [15] H. Yasuda, A. Nakamura, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 26 (1987) 723.
- [16] J. Scholz, A. Dietrich, H. Schumann, K.-H. Thiele, *Chem. Ber.* 124 (1991) 1035; G.A. Hadi, J. Wunderle, K.-H. Thiele, R. Fröhlich, *Z. Kristallogr.* 209 (1994) 372; V. Taberner, T. Cuenca, E. Herdtweck, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* (2004) 3154.
- [17] H. Kawaguchi, Y. Yamamoto, K. Asaoka, K. Tatsumi, *Organometallics* 17 (1998) 4380.
- [18] J. Wang, R.I.J. Amos, A.S.P. Frey, M.G. Gardiner, M.L. Cole, P.C. Junk, *Organometallics* 24 (2005) 2259.